Approved For Release 2001/09/03 : CIA-RDP84-0070-R000400070138-0

4

Acting Executive

28 Jamery 1949

Omoral Counsel

Loave Regulations

- 1. We believe that the memorandum of the Personnel Officer, dated 27 January, presents a fair plature of a practical situation. We, however, approach the problem on a slightly different basis.
- 2. We feel that although a right may not in putatice be emerged by the individuals concerned, it is, ribus the less, a right so long as it remains on the statute books. as presently worded. We believe, therefore, the blue right to Leeve under the Act of Burch 14, 1986, as amended (5 U.S.C. 30 E) is challute. The Civil Bervice Columbiation appears to agree to the extent that an employee theoretically, has recourse to the Court of Claims if the matter were brought to an issue. If our interpretation is correct (and we believe the Comptroller General's Opinions support us), the further words of the statute that such leave shall be granted at much times as the heads of establishments may prescribe, give a control, but also impose a duty to see that such times are prescribed. It would obviously be an administrative absurdity to let a situation arise wideh would give grounds for possible action in the Court of Claims, when such a situation is coully avoided;
- for offices to ask their employees when they would prefer to take leave, and after the employees when they would prefer the administrative officers set up leave schooling. Such a procedure would normally eliminate may difficulty under the Act. If an employee refuses to specify a time, he can be ordered on leave at a time convenient to the Covernment. This Araney follows the normal Covernment practice of encouraging the taking of leave. Once the time for leave has been set, the Government's duty has been completed (unless, of course, it changes its plans before Leave is below). Then if the employee, for the plans before Leave is below). Then if the employee, for the under the Act, as this is clearly the type of prefection which the employee is free to make for reasons of his swn.

would arise where an employee came to the last 25 working days of the year without asking for leave, being directed to take leave, or, by one measure or another, waiving leave. But if this specific situation areas, we still believe that the employee has a right to take those 25 days as annual leave, and that, even if it were administratively disapproved, and, possibly, pay were withheld by the Comptroller General, he could recover, in the Court of Claims, the anount of withheld pay and would be entitled to continue his same employment without being subject to any technical charge of misseasance.

LAVRINCE R. HOUSTOR.

TIM/HILP

cc: |Whromb Subject file VLocal Decisions